arXiv:1603.04174v2 [cs.IT] 19 Mar 2016

On Nyquist-Shannon Theorem with one-sided half of sampling sequence

Nikolai Dokuchaev

Submitted March 14, 2016

Abstract

The classical sampling Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov theorem states that a band-limited continuous time function can be uniquely recovered without error from a infinite two-sided sampling series taken with a sufficient frequency. This short note shows that the function can be recovered from any one-sided semi-infinite half of any oversampling series, with the same boundary for admissible frequencies as in the classical theorem.

Keywords: sampling, Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov Theorem, bandlimitness, missing values, one-sided sequences.

MSC 2010 classification : 42A38, 93E10, 562M15, 42B30

1 Introduction

This short note suggest a modification of the classical sampling theorem that is also known as Nyquist-Shannon theorem, Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov theorem, Whittaker-Shannon-Kotelnikov theorem, Whittaker-Nyquist-Kotelnikov-Shannon theorem, which is one of the most basic results in the theory of signal processing and information science. This theorem states that any band-limited function can be uniquely recovered without error from a infinite two-sided equidistand sampling sequence taken with sufficient frequency. This result was obtained independently by four authors [19, 10, 9, 13]. Numerous extensions of this theorem were obtained, including the case of nonuniform sampling and restoration of the signal with mixed samples; see some recent literature review in [1, 16, 17]. In particular, it is known that a bandlimited function can be recovered without error from a sample if a finite number of sample values is unknown. It is also known [7] that the function can be recovered without error if a infinite subsequence of the sample values located on the same distance is missing, with some

The author is with Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, Western Australia, 6845 (email N.Dokuchaev@curtin.edu.au).

additional constraints on the signal band and frequencies [7]. In this paper, we show that, with the same boundary for admissible frequencies as for the classical Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov Theorem, any band-limited function can be uniquely recovered without error from any one-sided semi-infinite half of any oversampling equidistant sampling series, with the same boundary for admissible frequencies as in the classical theorem. This means that any one-sided semi-infinite half of equidistand oversampling series can be deemed redundant: the function still can be restored without error from the remaining part.

2 Some definitions

We denote by $L_2(D)$ the usual Hilbert space of complex valued square integrable functions $x : D \to \mathbf{C}$, where D is a domain.

For $x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$, we denote by $X = \mathcal{F}x$ the function defined on $i\mathbf{R}$ as the Fourier transform of $x(\cdot)$;

$$X(i\omega) = (\mathcal{F}x)(i\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} x(t)dt, \quad \omega \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Here $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit. For $x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$, the Fourier transform X is defined as an element of $L_2(i\mathbf{R})$, i.e. $X(i\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$).

For $\Omega > 0$, let $L_2^{BL,\Omega}(\mathbf{R})$ be the subset of $L_2(\mathbf{R})$ consisting of functions x such that $x(t) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}X)(t)$, where $X(i\omega) \in L_2(i\mathbf{R})$ and $X(i\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| > \Omega\}$.

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the set of all integers.

3 The main result

Theorem 1 *Let $\Omega > 0$ and $\tau \in (0, \pi/\Omega)$ be given. Let $\{t_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{R}$ be a sequence such that $t_k - t_{k-1} = \tau$ for all k. For any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, a band-limited function $f \in L_2^{BL,\Omega}(\mathbf{R})$ is uniquely defined by the values $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\le s}$.*

Remark 1 *The value $\tau = \pi/\Omega$ is excluded in Theorem 1, meaning that the series $\{t_k\}$ oversamples f; this is essential for the proof. This value is allowed in the classical Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov Theorem with two-sided sampling series that states that $f \in L_2^{BL,\Omega}(\mathbf{R})$ is uniquely defined by the values $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ if $\tau \in (0, \Omega/\pi]$.*

Remark 2 *Theorem 1 considers the left hand half $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\le s}$ of the sampling series; it is convenient for representation of past historical observations, for instance, for predicting problems. However, the same statement can be formulated for the right hand half $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\ge s}$ of the sampling series.*

Corollary 1 *Theorem 1 implies that, for any finite set S, f is uniquely defined by the values $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus S}$.*

The fact that, for any finite set S, $f \in L_2^{BL,\Omega}(\mathbf{R})$ is uniquely defined by the values $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus S}$, is known; it was established in [6] by a different method. Theorem 1 extents this result: it shows that the same is also true for infinite sets $S = \{t:\ t > s\}$, for any given $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is known that the same is not true for some other infinite sets. For example, if $S = \{t_{2k+1},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $2\tau > \Omega/\pi$, then $f \in L_2^{BL,\Omega}(\mathbf{R})$ is not uniquely defined by the values $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus S}$, since the frequency of the sample $\{f(t_{2k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is lower than is required by the Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov Theorem; see more detailed analysis in [7].

4 Proofs

It suffices to proof Theorem 1 for $s = 0$ only; the extension on $s \neq 0$ is straightforward.

Let us introduce some additional notations first.

We denote by ℓ_2 the set of all sequences $x = \{x(k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{C}$, such that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |x(k)|^2 < +\infty$. We denote by $\ell_2(-\infty, 0)$ the set of all sequences $x = \{x(k)\}_{k\leq 0} \subset \mathbf{C}$, such that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} |x(k)|^2 < +\infty$.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}:\ |z| = 1\}$.

For $x \in \ell_2$, we denote by $X = \mathcal{Z}x$ the Z-transform

$$X(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k) z^{-k}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Respectively, the inverse Z-transform $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$ is defined as

$$x(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X\left(e^{i\omega}\right) e^{i\omega k} d\omega, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$$

For $x \in \ell_2$, the trace $X|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is defined as an element of $L_2(\mathbb{T})$.

For $W \in (0, \pi)$, let $\mathbb{L}^W(\mathbb{T})$ be the set of all mappings $X : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $X\left(e^{i\omega}\right) \in L_2(-\pi, \pi)$ and $X\left(e^{i\omega}\right) = 0$ for $|\omega| > W$. We will call the the corresponding processes $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$ *band-limited*.

Consider the Hilbert spaces of sequences ℓ_2 and $\ell_2(-\infty, 0)$.

Let ℓ_2^{BL} be the subset of $\ell_2(-\infty, 0)$ consisting of sequences $\{x(k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$ for some $X\left(e^{i\omega}\right) \in \cup_{W\in(0,\pi)}\mathbb{L}^W(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\ell_2^{BL}(-\infty, 0)$ be the subset of $\ell_2(-\infty, 0)$ consisting of traces $\{x(k)\}_{k\leq 0}$ of all $x \in \ell_2^{BL}$.

Lemma 1 *For any $x \in \ell_2^{BL}$, there exists an unique unique $X \in \cup_{W\in(0,\pi)}\mathbb{L}^W(\mathbb{T})$ such that $x(k) = (\mathcal{Z}^{-1}X)(k)$ for $k \leq 0$.*

By Lemma 1, the future $\{x(k)\}_{k>0}$ of a band-limited process $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$, $X \in \mathbb{L}^W(\mathbb{T})$, is uniquely defined by its history $\{x(k),\ k \leq 0\}$. This statement represent a reformulation in the deterministic setting of the classical Szegö-Kolmogorov Theorem for stationary Gaussian processes [8, 14, 15, 18].

Proof of Lemma 1. The proof follows from predictability results for band-limited discrete time processes obtained in [2, 3]. For completeness, we will provide a direct and independent proof. (This proof can be found in [4]). Let $D \triangleq \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $H^2(D^c)$ be the Hardy space of functions that are holomorphic on D^c with finite norm $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(D^c)} = \sup_{\rho>1} \|h(\rho e^{i\omega})\|_{L_2(-\pi,\pi)}$. In this case, $\mathcal{T} = \{t :\ t \leq 0\}$. It suffices to prove that if $x(\cdot) \in \ell_2^{BL}$ is such that $x(k) = 0$ for ≤ 0, then either $x(k) = 0$ for $k > 0$ or $x \notin \ell_2^{BL}$. If $x(k) = 0$ for $k > 0$, then $X = \mathcal{Z}x \in H^2(D^c)$. Hence, by the property of the Hardy space, $X \notin \cup_{W\in(0,\pi)}\mathbb{L}^W(\mathbb{T})$; see e.g. Theorem 17.18 from [12]. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 1. Consider a sequence of samples

$$x(k) = f(t_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} F(i\omega)\, e^{i\omega t_k} d\omega, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$$

Since $t_k = k\tau$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\Omega}^{\Omega} F(i\omega)\, e^{i\omega\tau k} d\omega &= \frac{1}{2\pi\tau}\int_{-\tau\Omega}^{\tau\Omega} F(i\nu/\tau)\, e^{i\nu k} d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\tau\Omega}^{\tau\Omega} G\left(e^{i\nu}\right) e^{i\nu k} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Here G is such that $G\left(e^{i\nu}\right) = \tau^{-1}F(i\nu/\tau)$. We used here a change of variables $\nu = \omega\tau$. Since $F\left(e^{i\nu/\tau}\right) \in L_2(i\mathbf{R})$, it follows that $G\left(e^{i\nu}\right) \in L_2(\mathbb{T})$. By the assumption that $\tau < \pi/\Omega$, it follows that $\tau\Omega < \pi$ and $x \in \ell_2^{BL}$. By the Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov Theorem, it follows that the function f is uniquely defined by the two-sided sequence $\{x(k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{f(t_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Further, Lemma 1 implies that a sequence $x \in \ell_2^{BL}$ is uniquely defined by its trace $\{x(k)\}_{k\leq 0}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

5 Discussion and future developments

1. To apply the classical Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov Theorem for the data recovery, one has to restore the Fourier transform $F = \mathcal{F}f$ from the two-sided sampling series $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. This procedure is relatively straightforward. In contrast, application of Theorem 1 for the data recovery requires to restore Z-transform $G\left(e^{i\nu}\right) = F(i\omega/\tau)$ from an one-sided half of the sampling series. By Lemma 1, this task is feasible; however, it is numerically challenging. Some numerical algorithms based on projection were suggested in [4] and [5].

2. Some infinite equidistant sets of sampling points that can be redundant for recoverability of the underlying function were described in [7]. It could be interesting to find other infinite sets with this feature.

3. It could be interesting to investigate if recovery of f suggested in Theorem 1 is robust with respect to errors in location of the sampling points t_k.

4. It is unclear if our approach based on predictability of discrete time processes is applicable to processes defined on multidimensional lattices. It could be interesting to extend this approach on process $f(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}^2$, using the setting from [11].

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by ARC grant of Australia DP120100928 to the author.

References

[1] Jerry, A. (1977). The Shannon sampling theorem - its various extensions and applications: A tutorial review. *Proc. IEEE* **65**, 11, 1565–1596.

[2] Dokuchaev, N. (2012). Predictors for discrete time processes with energy decay on higher frequencies. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **60**, No. 11, 6027-6030.

[3] Dokuchaev, N. (2012). On predictors for band-limited and high-frequency time series. *Signal Processing* **92**, iss. 10, 2571-2575.

[4] Dokuchaev, N. (2012). Causal band-limited approximation and forecasting for discrete time processes. arXiv 1208.3278.

[5] Dokuchaev, N. (2013). Forecasting for discrete time processes based on causal band-limited approximation. ICORES 2013. In: *Proc. 2nd International Conference on Operations Research and Enterprise Systems. Barcelona, Spain. 16-18 February, 2013*. Ed. B. Vitoriano and F.Valente, pp. 81-85.

[6] Ferreira P. G. S. G.. (1992). Incomplete sampling series and the recovery of missing samples from oversampled bandlimited signals. *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing* **40**, iss. 1, 225-227.

[7] Ferreira P. G. S. G.. (1995). Sampling Series With An Infinite Number Of Unknown Samples. In: *SampTA'95, 1995 Workshop on Sampling Theory and Applications*, 268-271.

[8] Kolmogorov, A.N. (1941). Interpolation and extrapolation of stationary stochastic series. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 5:1, 3–14.

[9] Kotelnikov, V.A. (1933). On the carrying capacity of the ether and wire in telecommunications. *Material for the First All-Union Conference on Questions of Communication, Izd. Red. Upr. Svyazi RKKA, Moscow*, 1933.

[10] Nyquist, H. (1928). Certain topics in telegraph transmission theory, *Trans. AIEE*, Trans., vol. 47, pp. 617644.

[11] Petersen, D.P. and Middleton D. (1962). Sampling and reconstruction of wave-number-limited functions in N-dimensional Euclidean spaces. *Information and Control*, vol. 5, pp. 279323.

[12] Rudin, W. *Real and Complex Analysis*. 3rd ed. Boston: McGraw-Hill, 1987.

[13] Shannon, C.E. (1949). Communications in the presence of noise, *Proc. Institute of Radio Engineers*, vol. 37, no.1, pp. 1021.

[14] Szegö, G. (1920). Beiträge zur Theorie der Toeplitzschen Formen. *Math. Z.* 6, 167–202.

[15] Szegö, G. (1921). Beiträge zur Theorie der Toeplitzschen Formen, II. *Math. Z.* 9, 167-190.

[16] Unser, M. (2000). Sampling 50 years after Shannon, Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 88, pp. 569587.,

[17] Vaidyanathan, P.P. (2001).Generalizations of the Sampling Theorem: Seven Decades After Nyquist. *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systemsI: fundamental theory and applications*, v. 48, NO. 9,

[18] Verblunsky, S. (1936). On positive harmonic functions (second paper). *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 40, 290–320.

[19] Whittaker, E.T. (1915). On the Functions Which are Represented by the Expansions of the Interpolation Theory. *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, Sec. A*, vol.35, pp. 181194.